

# PURITY THEOREM

JĘDRZEJ GARNEK

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**Notation:**  $X_0$  – over  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ , of dimension  $r$ .  $X = (X_0)_{\bar{k}}$ .

Suppose that  $X_0$  is smooth and projective. Recall that, as shown on the last lecture:

$$Z(X_0, t) = \prod_{i=0}^{2r} P_i(t)^{(-1)^i}, \text{ where } P_i(t) = \det(I - Ft|H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) = \prod_j (1 - \alpha_{ij}t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t].$$

The remaining part of Weil’s conjecture is:

**Theorem 1** (Weil’s Riemann hypothesis).  $X_0$  – smooth and projective,  $\lambda$  – an eigenvalue of  $F$  on  $H^i \Rightarrow$  all complex conjugates of  $\lambda$  have absolute value  $q^{i/2}$ .<sup>1</sup>

There are two proofs due to Deligne:

- by induction, using Lefschetz fibration,
- by "generalizing" it to purity theorem.

## 2. PURITY THEOREM FOR PROPER AND SMOOTH MORPHISMS

Note that  $H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = (R^i f_{0,*}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell))_{\bar{k}}$ . This suggests a more general version for proper and smooth  $f : X \rightarrow S$ . In order to formulate it we need:

**Definition.** A constructible  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ -sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_0$  on a  $k$ -scheme  $X_0$  is **pure of weight  $i$**  if  $\forall x \in X_0$  – closed  $\forall \iota: \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  every  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $F_x$  on  $\mathcal{F}_x$  satisfies:

$$|\iota(\lambda)| = (\#\kappa(x))^{i/2}.$$

**Remark.** If it holds for a fixed  $\iota$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\iota$ -pure.

**Remark.** If a  $k$ -vector space has an action of Frobenius with eigenvalues as above, we will also say that it is pure of weight  $w$ .

Properties of pure sheaves:  $\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{G}_0$  are pure of weight  $w_1, w_2 \Rightarrow$

- $\mathcal{F}_0^\vee$  – pure of weight  $-w_1$ ,
- $\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes \mathcal{G}_0$  – pure of weight  $w_1 + w_2$ ,
- $\mathcal{F}_0(d)$  – pure of weight  $w_1 - 2d$ .

**Theorem 2** (purity for proper and smooth morphisms). Let  $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  – a proper and smooth morphism of  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ -varieties. Let  $\mathcal{F}_0$  – a pure sheaf on  $X_0$  of weight  $i$ . Then, for all  $j$ ,  $R^j f_{0,*} \mathcal{F}_0$  is pure of weight  $i + j$ .

Note that this implies Weil’s Riemann Theorem for  $Y_0 = \text{Spec } k$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>( $\alpha_{ij}$  is a  $q$ -Weil number of weight  $i$ )

## 3. PURITY FOR NON-PROPER MORPHISMS

We will suppose now that  $X_0$  is arbitrary. Recall that then:

$$P_i(t) = \det(I - Ft|H_c^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)).$$

**Example.** Let  $E_0$  be an elliptic curve over  $k$ ,  $Z_0 = \{p_1, p_2\} \xrightarrow{i_0} E_0$ ,  $X_0 = E_0 \setminus Z_0 \xrightarrow{j_0} E_0$ . Then:

$$0 \rightarrow (j_0)_!(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \rightarrow (i_0)_*(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n) \rightarrow 0,$$

which gives:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \frac{H^0(Z, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)}{H^0(E, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)} & \longrightarrow & H_c^1(U, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & H^1(E, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \sim & & & & \downarrow \sim \\ & & \frac{\mathbb{Q}_\ell(0) \oplus \mathbb{Q}_\ell(0)}{\mathbb{Q}_\ell(0)} \cong \mathbb{Q}_\ell(0) & & & & \text{weight 1 by purity} \end{array}$$

and thus  $H_c^1(U, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  is not pure.

**Definition.**  $\mathcal{F}$  is *mixed* with weights  $w_1, \dots, w_n$ , if it has a finite increasing filtration by constructible  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -subsheaves with quotients pure of weights  $w_1, \dots, w_n$ .

**Theorem 3** (Deligne's purity theorem). Let  $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  - a proper and smooth morphism of  $k$ -varieties. Let  $\mathcal{F}_0$  - a mixed sheaf on  $X_0$  of weights  $\leq i$ . Then, for all  $j$ ,  $R^j(f_0)_!\mathcal{F}_0$  is mixed of weights  $\leq i + j$ . Moreover, each weight of  $R^w(f_0)_!\mathcal{F}_0$  is congruent mod  $\mathbb{Z}$  to a weight of  $\mathcal{F}_0$ .

**Corollary 4** (Riemann's hypothesis for general varieties).  $X$  - a variety over  $k \Rightarrow H_c^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  is mixed with weights  $\leq i$ , i.e.

$$\forall_\sigma |\sigma(\alpha_{ij})| = q^{l/2} \quad \text{for some } l = l_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}, l \leq i.$$

**Corollary 5** (RH for proper smooth varieties).  $X_0$  - smooth and proper  $\Rightarrow H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  is pure of weight  $i$ .

*Proof.* By Poincaré duality:

$$H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong H^{2r-i}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(r))^\vee.$$

Deligne purity implies that  $H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  is mixed of weight  $\leq i$  and  $H^{2r-i}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(r)) = H^{2r-i}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(r)$  is mixed of weight  $\leq (2r - i) - 2r = -i$ . Thus  $H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  is pure of weight  $i$ .  $\square$

Another applications:

- hard Lefschetz theorem: (not proven today)

**Theorem 6.** The map:

$$c_1(H)^n : H_{et}^{2r-n}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow H_{et}^{2r+n}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

( $n$ -fold cup product by the cohomology class of hyperplane section) is an isomorphism.

- Lang-Weil estimates.

## 4. LANG-WEIL ESTIMATES

**Idea:** by Riemann's hypothesis:

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_{i=0}^{2r} \text{tr}(F|H^i) \approx q^r + \left( \sum_{i < 2r} \dim_k H^i \right) \cdot q^{r-1/2}$$

**Theorem 7** (Lang-Weil estimates). Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$  be irreducible of dimension  $r$  and degree  $d$ . Then:

$$|\#X(k) - q^r| \leq (d-1)(d-2)q^{r-1/2} + A(n, d, r)q^{r-1}.$$

**Lemma 8** ("weak Lang-Weil").  $\#X(k) \leq A_1(n, d, r)q^r$

*Proof.* Induction on  $n$ , using:

$$|X(k)| \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1} |(X \cap H_\lambda)(k)|,$$

where  $H_\lambda = \{x_0 = \lambda x_1\}$ . □

**Lemma 9.**  $\#\{H \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n - k\text{-hyperplane} : X \cap H \text{ is not geo. irreducible or not gen. reduced}\} \leq A_2(n, d, r) \cdot q^{n-1}$

*Proof of Lang-Weil.* For  $r = 0$  – easy, for  $r = 1$  – we take the normalization of  $X$  and apply Riemann's hypotheses to it (exercise!).

$T(r-1) \Rightarrow T(r)$ : we use induction on  $n$ . Let:

$$W := \{(x, H) \in X \times (\mathbb{P}_k^n)^* : x \in H\}.$$

Then, using  $\text{pr}_1 : W \rightarrow X$ :

$$\#W(\mathbb{F}_q) = \#\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) \cdot \#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

and on the other hand, using  $\text{pr}_2 : W \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}_k^n)^*$ :

$$\#W(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_H |(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q)| = \sum_{H_1} |(X \cap H_1)(\mathbb{F}_q)| + \sum_{H_2} |(X \cap H_2)(\mathbb{F}_q)|$$

where  $H_1$ 's are such that  $X \cap H_1$  is not geometrically irreducible or not generically reduced.

- By Lemma 9:  $\#\{H_1\} = |\#(\mathbb{P}^n)^* - \#\{H_2\}| \leq A_2 \cdot q^{n-1}$ ,
- By Lemma 8:  $|(X \cap H_1)(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq d \cdot A_1 q^{r-1}$ ,  
(note that the number of irreducible components of  $(X \cap H_1)_{red}$  is  $\leq d$ , and each has degree  $\leq d$ .)
- By induction on  $n$ :  $|(X \cap H_2)_{red}(\mathbb{F}_q) - q^{r-1}| \leq (d-1) \cdot (d-2)q^{r-3/2} + A(n-1, d, r-1) \cdot q^{r-2}$ .

□

**Proof of Lemma 9.** Let  $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a closed subvariety of dimension  $r$ . Consider the set:

$$\{(H_1, \dots, H_{r+1}) \in ((\mathbb{P}^n)^*)^{\times(r+1)} : \bigcap_i H_i \cap V \neq \emptyset\}.$$

One can show it is a hypersurface  $Z(R_V)$  in  $(\mathbb{P}^{n*})^{r+1}$  (where  $R_V$  is a polynomial in  $(r+1)$  sets of  $(n+1)$  variables), homogeneous of degree  $d$  in each set of variables).

**Definition.**  $R_V$  is the **Cayley form** of  $V$ . Coefficients of  $R_V$  are the **Chow coordinates** of  $V$ , denoted  $c(V) \in \mathbb{P}^M$ , where  $M = (n+1) \cdot (r+1) - 1$ .

**Definition.** If  $D = \sum_i n_i V_i$ , then  $R_D := \prod_i R_{V_i}^{n_i}$ ,  $c(D) =$  coefficients of  $R_D$ .

*Proof of Lemma 9.* We want to estimate the cardinality of:

$$R := \{H \in (\mathbb{P}^n)^* : H \cap R \text{ is not a variety}\}.$$

Let:

$$\begin{aligned} C &:= \{c(D) : D \text{ is a cycle of dim. } r \text{ and degree } d, \text{ which is not a variety}\} \\ &= Z(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_s) \subset \mathbb{P}^M, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi_i \in \mathbb{F}_p[\dots]$  depend only on  $n, r$  and  $d$ . Note that  $R_{X \cap H}(\dots) = R_X(\dots, H)$  and thus  $c_X(H) := c(X \cap H)$  is a tuple of forms of degree  $d$  in the "variable"  $H \in (\mathbb{P}^n)^*$ . Then:

$$R \subset Z(\phi_1 \circ c_X, \dots, \phi_s \circ c_X)$$

and we can use Lemma 8 for each of hypersurfaces  $Z(\phi_i \circ c_X)$  (dimension =  $n-1$ , degree =  $\deg \phi_i$ ). □

## 5. ABOUT THE PROOF OF PURITY THEOREM

Very general outline:

- (1) Prove purity for real sheaves (i.e. characteristic polynomial of Frobenius has real coefficients).
- (2) Reduce to the purity of  $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, j_! \mathcal{F})$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_0$  – sheaf on  $U_0$ ,  $j_0 : U_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ .
- (3) Use Fourier transform – it is real (and thus pure) and its stalk is  $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, j_! \mathcal{F})$ .

## 6. FOURIER TRANSFORM

With every  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -constructible sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_0$  on  $X_0$  we can associate the function

$$f^{\mathcal{F}_0} : X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad f^{\mathcal{F}_0}(x) := \text{tr}(F_x | (\mathcal{F}_0)_x)$$

for every  $n$ . We can define also  $f^{K_0}$  for  $K_0 \in D_c^b(X_0, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  as:

$$f^{K_0} := \sum_i (-1)^i f^{\mathcal{H}^i K_0}.$$

Then:

- (1)  $f^{\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes \mathcal{G}_0} = f^{\mathcal{F}_0} \cdot f^{\mathcal{G}_0}$ ,
- (2)  $f^{g^* \mathcal{K}_0} = f^{\mathcal{K}_0} \circ g$ ,
- (3)  $f^{\mathbf{R}g_* \mathcal{K}_0}(x) = \sum_{y \in X_x(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})} f^{\mathcal{K}_0}(y)$ .

**Remark** (optional). *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is lisse and semisimple, then  $f^{\mathcal{F}}$  determines  $\mathcal{F}$ , because the Frobenii are dense in the monodromy group.*

From now on:  $X_0 = \mathbb{A}^1$ . Let  $\psi : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\times$  be a fixed non-trivial additive character. Note that  $\psi$  induces a map  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} \mathbb{F}_q \xrightarrow{\psi} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\times$ , which we will denote also by  $\psi$ .

**Question.** *How to construct a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_0$  with  $f^{\mathcal{F}} = \psi$ ?*

**Definition.** *The Artin-Schreier sheaf  $\mathcal{L}_0(\psi)$  on  $\mathbb{A}_0^1$  is the sheaf corresponding to the representation:*

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{A}_0^1, \bar{x}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q \xrightarrow{\psi} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\times,$$

where the first map comes from the finite étale cover:

$$\mathbb{A}_0^1 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q[y] \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q[x], \quad x \mapsto y^q - y.$$

**Lemma 10.**  $f^{\mathcal{L}_0(\psi)}(x) = \psi^{-1}(x)$ .

*Proof.* Note that the action of  $\pi_1$  on  $\mathcal{L}_0(\psi)_{\bar{x}}$  is (by definition) given by the above homomorphism  $\pi_1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\times$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the arithmetic Frobenius and let  $x \in \mathbb{A}_0^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ . We want to compute its image via:

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{A}_0^1, \bar{x}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$$

(i.e. in the Galois group of Artin-Schreier cover). Note that  $\sigma(x) := x^{q^n}$ ,  $\sigma(y) := y^{q^n}$ . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} y^q &= y + x \\ y^{q^2} &= y^q + x^q = y + x + x^q \\ &\dots \\ y^{q^n} &= y + x + x^q + \dots + x^{q^{n-1}} = y + \text{tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q}(x) \end{aligned}$$

and  $\sigma$  maps to translation by  $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q}(x)$ . Thus  $\sigma$  acts by multiplication by  $\psi(\text{tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q}(x)) =: \psi(x)$  on the stalk and  $F_x$  by  $\psi^{-1}(x)$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** *Define the **Fourier transform** of  $f : \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\times$  with respect to  $\psi$ :*

$$FT_\psi(f) : \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\times, \quad FT_\psi(f)(x) := \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}} f(y) \psi(-xy).$$

**Question.** *Given  $\mathcal{F}_0$ , how to construct sheaf  $FT_\psi(\mathcal{F}_0)$  satisfying:*

$$f^{FT_\psi(\mathcal{F}_0)} = FT_\psi(f^{\mathcal{F}_0})?$$

**Definition.**

$$FT_\psi(\mathcal{K}_0) := \mathbf{R}pr_{1,!}(\text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{K}_0 \otimes m^* \mathcal{L}_0(\psi)) [1]$$

where  $pr_i : \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  and  $m : \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is the multiplication.

**Properties:**

- (1) if  $\mathcal{K}_0 = \mathcal{F}_0$  is a sheaf,  $FT_\psi(\mathcal{F}_0)$  is a complex with cohomology concentrated at most in degree  $-1, 0, 1$ ,
- (2)  $f^{FT_\psi(\mathcal{F}_0)} = -FT_\psi(f^{\mathcal{F}_0})$ .
- (3)  $(FT_\psi(\mathcal{F}_0))_{\bar{a}} = H_c^1(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}(\psi_a))$ , where  $\psi_a(x) := \psi(a \cdot x)$ .

*Proof.* (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^{FT_\psi(\mathcal{F}_0)}(x) &= -f^{\mathbf{R}pr_{1,!}(\mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{K}_0 \otimes m^* \mathcal{L}_0(\psi))}(x) = \\
 &= - \sum_{(y,x) \in (\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1)(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})} f^{\mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{K}_0 \otimes m^* \mathcal{L}_0(\psi)}(y, x) \\
 &= - \sum_{(y,x) \in (\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1)(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})} f^{\mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{K}_0}(y, x) \cdot f^{m^* \mathcal{L}_0(\psi)}(y, x) \\
 &= - \sum_{(y,x) \in (\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1)(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})} f^{\mathcal{K}_0}(x) \cdot f^{\mathcal{L}_0(\psi)}(x \cdot y) \\
 &= - \sum_{(y,x) \in (\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1)(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})} f^{\mathcal{K}_0}(x) \cdot \psi^{-1}(x \cdot y).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

## SOME DEFINITIONS

- constructible sheaves:
  - $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X_{\text{ét}}$  is constructible if it is locally constant in étale topology and has finite stalks,
  - $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -constructible if  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_n)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_n$  – constructible  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n$ -module,
  - category of  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -constructible sheaves –  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -constructible sheaves with Hom's tensored by  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ , (analogously we define  $E$ -constructible sheaves for  $[E : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$ )
  - category of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ -constructible sheaves –  $E$ -constructible sheaves for all  $[E : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$  with Homs:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) := \mathrm{Hom}_F(\mathcal{F} \otimes_E F, \mathcal{G} \otimes_E F)$$

(where  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $E$ -constr. and  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $E'$ -constr. and  $F \supset E, E'$  is a finite field extension)

- $N_m = \sum_{r=0}^{2d} \mathrm{tr}(F^m | H_c^r)$
- cohomology with compact support:
  - extension by zero:  $j : U \hookrightarrow X$  – open embedding  $\Rightarrow j_! \mathcal{F}$  – sheaf associated with
 
$$(\phi : V \rightarrow X) \mapsto \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}(V), & \phi(V) \subset U \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
  - $H_c^i(X, \mathcal{F}) := H^i(X, j_! \mathcal{F})$ , where  $j_0 : X_0 \hookrightarrow X'_0$  is an open embedding with dense image and  $X'_0$  is proper over  $k$ .
  - $f : X \rightarrow S \Rightarrow R^i f_! \mathcal{F} := R^i f'_*(j_! \mathcal{F})$ , where  $j : X \hookrightarrow X'$  – open embedding with dense image into a proper  $S$ -scheme  $X'$
- $D_c^b(\mathbb{A}_0^1, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$  is the "derived" category of bounded complexes of étale  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ -sheaves on  $\mathbb{A}_0^1$  with constructible cohomology sheaves

*Email address:* jgarnek@amu.edu.pl